

**RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER****MAR 10 2008****IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

In re application of

Henry G. Johnson et al

Serial No. 10/804,342

Filed: March 19, 2004

Docket No.: C-2960

Art Unit: 1795

Examiner: Dove, Tracy Mae

Title: Turbocompressor Impelling Fuel Recycle
In Fuel Cell Power PlantI hereby certify that this correspondence is being
facsimile transmitted to the United States Patent and
Trademark Office (Fax No. 671-273-8300) onMarch 8, 2008.

Barbara Cecere

Barbara Cecere**REQUEST FOR INTERVIEW**Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Applicants request a telephone interview prior to the next Office Action to
discuss the following points:

1. Does the Examiner now agree that Keefer does not drive a turbine
with the same fuel as is fed to the anode flow fields?
2. Are not the "Reasons for Allowance" applicable to claims 1-4?
3. Why are "anode exhaust", "fuel depleted gas stream" and "heat
engine" even mentioned in the Response to Argument? What relevance do these
have to the issue of patentability of the claims?

Respectfully submitted,

M. P. WilliamsM. P. Williams
Attorney of Record
Voice: 860-649-0305
Fax: 860-649-1385
E-mail: mw@melpat.com210 Main Street
Manchester, CT 06042
Date: March 8, 2008